Uniwersytet Przyrodniczo-Humanistyczny w Siedlcach

Dilemmas of qualitative evaluation of social projects

Dylematy oceny jakościowej projektów społecznych

Abstract: A characteristic feature of social projects is that they produce "soft" outcomes. Changes that ought to result from execution of a given project are usually qualitative in nature. Thus, it is highly difficult to judge whether the forecast project outcomes commensurate with the funds provided for its implementation in the budget. The above issues are illustrated in the article with the use of a case study involving a social transborder project.

Key words: social projects, qualitative evaluation, logical framework

Streszczenie: Projekty społeczne charakteryzują się występowaniem "miękkich" rezultatów. Zmiany, jakie ma wywołać realizacja danego projektu ma najczęściej charakter jakościowy. Z tego względu wynika duża trudność w ocenie, czy przewidywane rezultaty projektu są współmieme do środków przewidzianych w budżecie, które są zaplanowane na jego wdrożenie. Wskazaną problematykę zobrazowano w artykule poprzez analizę studium przypadku społecznego projektu transgranicznego.

Słowa kluczowe: projekty społeczne, ocena jakościowa, matryca logiczna

Introduction

The popularisation of issues pertaining to project management in Poland is undoubtedly due to the possibility to apply for subsidies and grants by entities executing specific projects which meet requirements of EU programmes. As a consequence, the terms EU projects or European projects have appeared and become defined as a set of tasks undertaken in order to achieve clearly stated goals within a given time and with the support of a predefined budget¹. According to one of the definitions developed for the purpose of implementation of EU assistance programmes, one may state that: a project is an organised and set in time (with a set start and end date) sequence of multiple actions aiming at achieving a specific and measura-

¹ Aid Delivery Methods. Volume 1: Project Cycle Management Guidelines, European Commission, Europe Aid Cooperation Office, Brussels 2004, p. 8, za: Podręcznik zarządzania projektami miękkimi w kontekście Europejskiego Funduszu Społecznego, Ministerstwo Rozwoju Regionalnego, Warszawa 2006, p. 8.

ble outcome, addressed at particular groups of recipients, and requiring major, though limited, tangible and intangible assets (material, human, financial)².

Understanding what project elements are key for its success is very important at the project development (concept) stage. Therefore, knowledge regarding project evaluation criteria may aid its proper development; i.e. all aspects crucial for a project to be successful would be properly investigated and organised.

As far as assistance programmes project evaluation is concerned, first and foremost a project should set forth general objectives of the programme it is going to be funded from. For the purpose of project evaluation, evaluation criteria are presented to applicants in a competition dossier. Each particular aspect of a project is assessed and projects who score best rank high and have the greatest chance of receiving a subsidy. Prior to substance evaluation though, the project is assessed in terms of the level of observance of formal criteria.

Project management, especially social projects management, employs the following terms for describing project outcomes: "hard outcomes" and "soft outcomes". A characteristic feature of "hard outcomes" is that they can be defined quantitatively (they are measurable), whereas the "soft outcomes" are qualitative in nature and are typically presented in a descriptive form. Thus, an objective project evaluation becomes challenging. Another problem is whether an assessment of project's outcomes is in accordance with the funds provided for project implementation and subsided by the European Union (which means that they are public funds). The objective of the article is to indicate difficulties associated with qualitative project evaluation on the basis of a selected project. The empirical data used in the article is a project competing for subsidies from the "Cross-border Cooperation Programme Poland-Belarus-Ukraine 2007-2013".

The evaluation criteria in Cross-border Cooperation Programme Poland-Belarus-Ukraine 2007-2013

The European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument is the initiative of the European Commission, aiming at developing the cooperation between the European Union and the partner countries by ensuring the integrated and sustainable regional development. The European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument - Cross-border Cooperation Programmes will take as their starting point the four key objectives described in the response strategy, namely⁴:

² Podręcznik zarządzania projektami miękkimi w kontekście Europejskiego Funduszu Społecznego, Ministerstwo Rozwoju Regionalnego, Warszawa 2006, p. 8.

³ www.eu.progressinvestment.eu/ (01.06.2012).

⁴ European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument Cross-Border Cooperation. Strategy Paper 2007-2013. Indicative Programme 2007-2010, European Commission, 2007, p. 24.

- promoting economic and social development in regions on both sides of common borders,
- working together to address common challenges, in fields such as the environment, public health and the prevention of and the fight against organized crime,
- ensuring efficient and secure borders,
- promoting local cross border "people-to-people" actions.

The Cross-border Cooperation Programme Poland-Belarus-Ukraine 2007-2013, under the European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument continues and broadens the cooperation in the border zone areas of the three countries, which so far has been developed within the framework of the Neighbourhood Programme Poland-Belarus-Ukraine INTERREG IIIA / Tacis CBC 2004–2006 (Neighbourhood Programme). Despite substantial progress in cross-border cooperation, the level of integration in the programme area needs further improvement in order to realise and utilise the full social and economic potential of the region. All the more so, since the programme area's economic development is still insufficient, with a comparatively low GDP per capita, a very high unemployment rate on the Polish side of the border, high share of agriculture in the employment structure, a relatively low innovativeness of SMEs, R&D spending and technical environmental standards⁵.

Cross-border Cooperation Programme Poland - Belarus - Ukraine 2007-2013 was approved by the European Commission on November 6th 2008 – Decision number K(2008)6411. The core objective of the programme is support for cross-border development processes. The programme objectives will be realised through non-commercial projects implemented within the following priorities and measures⁶:

Priority 1. Increasing competitiveness of the border area:

- Measure 1.1. Better conditions for entrepreneurship
- Measure 1.2. Tourism development
- Measure 1.3. Improving access to the region

Priority 2. Improving the quality of life:

- Measure 2.1. Natural environment protection in the borderland
- Measure 2.2. Efficient and secure borders

Priority 3. Networking and people-to-people cooperation:

- Measure 3.1. Regional and local cross-border cooperation capacity building
- Measure 3.2. Local communities' initiatives

The goal of Measure 3.1 – Regional and local cross-border cooperation capacity building – is to improve the cross-border cooperation capacity at the local and regional level. Support is planned mainly for activities aimed at increasing the institutional cooperation. It is expected to develop as a re-

⁶ http://www.pl-by-ua.eu/ (25.02.2012).

⁵ Cross-Border Cooperation Programme Poland – Belarus – Ukraine 2007-2013, European Commission, 6 November 2008 (Decision number K(2008)6411), p. 3.

sult of the creation of institutional forms of cross border cooperation. Information exchange, experience sharing and networking cooperation will be promoted, including internet-based projects. Cooperation among public institutions, especially territorial self-governments, is of high importance, particularly in the area of strategic and spatial planning. Joint initiatives in the respective areas will be promoted with a view to increasing the degree of integration of the Programme's eligible areas. Within the Programme's scope, particular stress will be laid on the dissemination of "good practices". Additionally it is planned to support the development and use of information and communication technologies for cross-border cooperation⁷.

All projects submitted by applicants will be assessed according to the following steps and criteria:

- Step 1: administrative/ eligibility verification
- Step 2: quality evaluation

Table 1. The evaluation criteria

1. Financial and operational capacity

- 1.1. Do the applicant and partners have sufficient experience of project management?
- 1.2. Do the applicant and partners have sufficient technical expertise and management capacity?
- (including staff, equipment, knowledge and ability to handle the budget for the action)
- 1.3. Does the applicant and financial partner have stable and sufficient sources of finance?

2. Relevance

2.1. How relevant is the proposal to the objectives and one or more of the priorities of the call for proposals?

(score "very good" will only be allocated if the proposal contains specific added-value elements, such as promotion of gender equality and equal opportunities, innovative approaches, models for good practice, information and communication technology, economical impact, social impact etc.)

- 2.2. Cross-border impact. How does the Project contribute to the straightening of cross-border co- operation
- (e.g. creates basis to develop cross border co-operation/ results benefits both sides of the border/ demonstrate clear links to future cross- border co-operation)
- 2.3. How relevant to the particular needs and constraints of the target country/countries or region(s) is the proposal?
- (including regional strategies/ plans or other relevant documents, avoidance of duplication and synergy with other EC initiatives.)
- 2.4. How clearly defined and strategically chosen are those involved (final beneficiaries, target groups)? Have their needs been clearly defined and does the proposal address them appropriately?

3. Methodology

3.1. Are the activities proposed appropriate, practical, and consistent with the objectives and expected results? Does the action demonstrate innovative approach?

⁷ Cross-Border Co-Operation Programme Poland - Belarus - Ukraine 2007-2013. Guidelines for grant applicants, Ministry of Regional Development of Poland, Territorial Cooperation Department, Warszawa 2011, pp. 6-7.

- 3.2. How coherent is the overall design of the action? Is the action plan clear and feasible? (in particular, does it reflect the analysis of the problems involved, take into account external factors and anticipate an evaluation? A score "very good" may only be allocated if the project is ready for implementation)
- 3.3. Is the partners' and/or other stakeholders' level of involvement and participation in the action satisfactory?
- 3.4. Does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators Have the indicators been properly chosen?

4. Sustainability

- 4.1. Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups? Is the proposal likely to have multiplier effects? (including scope for replication and extension of the outcome of the action and dissemination of information.)
- 4.2. Are the expected results of the proposed action sustainable:
 - financially (how will the activities be financed after the EC funding ends?)
 - institutionally (will structures allowing the activities to continue be in place at the end of the action? Will there be local "ownership" of the results of the action?)
 - environmentally (where applicable) (will the action have a negative/positive environmental impact?)

5. Budget and cost-effectiveness

- 5.1. Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?
- 5.2. Is the proposed expenditure necessary for the implementation of the action? Is the budget transparent and adequately related to the planed actions?

6. Partnership

- 6.1. How satisfactory is the level of involvement and activities of the cross-border partners, the project has been:
 - jointly prepared
 - the project will be jointly implemented
 - the project will have shared staff
 - the project will be jointly financed

Note "very good" may only be allocated if the project fulfils all above mentioned criteria (partners participate in preparation, implementation of the project, have financial contribution -eligible costs only- and joint staff) and only to the projects with the balanced division of the budget between the project partners.

Maximum total score: 100

Source: Cross-Border Co-Operation Programme Poland - Belarus - Ukraine 2007-2013. Guidelines for grant applicants, Ministry of Regional Development of Poland, Territorial Cooperation Department, Warszawa 2011, pp. 32-33.

Cross-border project on "Regional and local cross-border cooperation capacity building" - case study

The case study refers to the project: "Closer neighbousr. Polish-Ukrainian transborder cooperation platform for socioeconomic development planning", to be submitted for support from the Cross-border Cooperation Programme Poland – Belarus – Ukraine 2007-2013. The Applicant is Centre for Local Development Foundation located in Lublin (Poland), and the Part-

.

⁸ Project: "Closer neighbousr. Polish-Ukrainian transborder cooperation platform for socioeconomic development planning", Fundacja Centrum Rozwoju Lokalnego, Lublin 2012.

ners: Tomaszow Lubelski Poviat (Poland), Zolkiev District Council (Ukraine) and Sokal District Council (Ukraine).

The general goal of project is building a sustainable platform for crossborder cooperation through the establishment of a planning instruments and achieving socio-economic development of bordering Ukraine and creating a permanent network of cross-border cooperation. Specific objectives are:

- 1. Development of Polish-Ukrainian cooperation on border areas.
- 2. Creating structures exchange mutual experience and information top stimulate and planning the socio-economic development of border areas.
- Strengthening NGO (non-governmental organisations) cooperation and entrepreneurs with the administration by providing practical knowledge concerning the functioning of a democratic state conditions and economy.

The general action in the project are: conferences, trainings in Ukraine, study visits in Poland, study visits in Ukraine, strategic planning workshops and organizing Transboundary Investor Service Centre in Zolkiew (Ukraine). The project will create the basis for the development of crossborder cooperation by preparing decision-making personnel training and workshops. Preparation of substantive representatives of other sectors (NGOs and entrepreneurs) to transfer knowledge and experience will reinforce their potential as partner administration. Skills training should be profitable. Trained person will experience with colleagues by multiplying effect project. This helps build the structures for transboudary consultations in socio-economic matters. Thanks to fixed firmly to the contacts area covered by the project will have a chance at better use of resources. Through regular, exchanges of information authorities obtain opportunities faster response to crisis situations and avoid problems arising from the lack of coordination of activities on both sides of the border. It also develop a cross-border development strategy. The strategy will uniquely identify the main problems facing these areas and more importantly to find ways their neutralization. Helps develop optional road development in frontier areas. Publishing strategy will contribute to the dissemination of ideas and promote transboundary strategic planning implementation process.

The project will have various effects on both sides of the border. Party of Poland would be seriously improved mainly in terms of socio-economic considerations. Thanks to the support of local authorities on both sides of the border expected more bilateral cooperation initiatives. Particularly for cultural, tourist and business. Should be the development of border trade and cooperation production plants. Predicting a significant improvement planning and utilization of infrastructure and resources. Ukrainian party obtains support processes of construction selfgovernment, civil society more open on proven patterns West. Cooperation structure allowing run initiative grass and enable the new bodies (especially NGOs) to work for the good of those areas. In all partner investment attractiveness is raised areas and tourist border areas. The project will last 2 years. The total value of the project is 499 710,12 EUR. The problem is, if are this total eligible cost of the

adequate to the results. The major project action plan and they results is showed in logical framework for the project.

Logical framework for the project

A remarkably crucial element of project planning is attention to coherence maintenance between project objectives and the planned project activities. The stage of project formulation is completed by developing a logical framework of the project. The logical framework is in a form of a chart that presents major principles of the project and depicts intervention logic assumed therein. Its purpose is to summarise the analytic-planning part of the project through a concise tabulation and verification of correctness of the cause and effect relations assumed in the project.

Table 2. Logical framework for the project "Closer neighbousr. Polish-Ukrainian transborder cooperation platform for socioeconomic development planning"

	Intervention logic	Objectively veri- fiable indicators of achievement	Sources and means of verification	Assumptions
Overall	What are the overall broader objectives to which the action will contribute?	What are the key indicators related to the overall objectives?	What are the sources of information for these indicators?	
objectives	The project aims is to build a sustainable platform for crossborder cooperation through the establishment of a planning instruments and achieving socioeconomic development of bordering Ukraine and creating a permanent network of crossborder cooperation	The amount of cross-border local initiatives undertaken by local authorities, NGO's and private sectors. — the level of private sector development measured quantity of person working in this sector. — level effectiveness of governance and management at local and regional — level development NGOs involved in training expressed much	Source information is conducted evaluation indicators project are: Monitoring entities participating in the project. – Maintain permanent contact with the local authorities, nongovernmental organizations and entrepreneurs. – Survey conducted during and after project completion	

⁹ T. Szot-Gabryś, *Projekty inwestycyjne infrastrukturalne i biznesowe. Aspekty metodologiczne i praktyczne [Infrastructure and business investment projects. Methodological and practical aspects.]*, DIFIN, Warszawa 2011, pp. 79-80.

_

		ongoing projects and taken measu- res		
Specific objective	What specific objective is the action intended to achieve to contribute to the overall objectives?	Which indicators clearly show that the objective of the action has been achieved?	What are the sources of information that exist or can be collected? What are the methods required to get this information?	Which factors and conditions outside the Beneficiary's responsibility are necessary to achieve that objective? (external conditions). Which risks should be taken into consideration?
	1) Development of Polish- Ukrainian cooperation on border areas 2) The creation of structures exchange mutual experience and information to stimulate and planning the socio-economic development of border areas 3) Strengthening cooperation NGO and private sector administration by providing practical knowledge concerning the functioning of a democratic state conditions and economy	When evaluation qualitative indicator will be taken into account the following elements: - increase knowledge and skills of people trained; - increase awareness of the need and the evolution of economic and Social Committeefluids among participants study visits and conferences; - increase of knowledge and capacity for action among supported TISC ¹⁰ , when assessing the quantitative indicator will be taken into account the following elements: - increase in the activity and mobility participants and persons covered by the project on cross-border cooperation and between sectors (Administration – NGO – private sector);	Source information is conducted evaluation project are: - current monitoring project; - periodic reports local coordinators project; - analysis surveys evaluation; - the project on schedule; - the preparation of periodic reports from the project; - summary of the project during a Conference in Tomaszow	Conditions necessary to achieve this: - support the project by the local authorities; - involvement of actors involved in training; - openness of local and regional cooperation; Factors which may impair or impede implementation process can be: - difficult crossing of Polish-Ukrainian, - currency fluctuations, - political and economic instability in Ukraine.

 $^{^{\}rm 10}$ TISC – Transboundary Investor Service Centre.

		alayation		
		- elevation knowledge indivi-		
		dual target groups,		
		- a model for the		
		establishment and		
		implementation of		
		development stra-		
		tegies.		
	The results are	What are the indi-	What are the	What external
	the outputs envi-	cators to measure	sources of in-	conditions
	saged to achieve	whether and to	formation for	must be met to
	the specific ob-	what extent the ac-	these indica-	obtain the
	jective.	tion achieves the	tors?	expected re-
	What are the	expected results?		sults on sche-
	expected results?			dule?
Expected results	(enumerate them)			
Counco	1. Conferences	In assessing the	Post-project	- Scope of exi-
	During three con-	quantitative indica-	evaluation are	sting coopera-
	ferences (ope-	tor will be taken in-	applied internal	tion of local
	ning – 80 people,	to account the fol-	procedures,	authorities,
	Investor Centre	lowing elements:	which will be	NGOs and
	Transboundary in	- 450 persons tra-	properly asses-	small and me-
	Zolkiew Confe-	ined in the project;	sed the effec-	dium enterpri-
	rence, near	- 135 persons par-	tiveness of im-	se sector;
	Lwow 60 persons	ticipated in study	plementation.	- Scope alre-
	and closing con-	visits;	The project will	ady taken ini-
	ference – 70 per-	- 60 persons parti-	be evaluated	tiatives to
	sons) get wide-	cipated in works-	based on the	develop local;
	spread adoption	hops strategic;	number of tra-	- modalities of
	and promotion ideas trans bor-	- 50 persons parti-	inees, trips and	socio-economic
	der collaboration	cipated in works- hops strategic;	workshops and the number of	development of Ukraine
	and presentation	- 50 persons bene-	persons rece-	Of Oktaine
	of project results	fiting from the aid	iving assistance	
	2. Training in	TISC;	TISC. In addi-	
	Ukraine	- 210 participants	tion, the project	
	In the framework	of the Conference;	is expected to	
	of the project are	- 3 developed	determine the	
	raised qualifica-	cross-border deve-	effects based	
	tions by trainings	lopment strategies,	on analysis of	
	in Ukraine for the	published in inclu-	questionnaires	
	following num-	ding in 300 copies;	assessing tra-	
	bers of people	- 12000 (8000 fol-	ining and travel	
	from land divison	ders and 4000	study carried	
	Sokal and Zolkiev	promotional mate-	out under the	
	distcict;	rials distributed po-	project. The su-	
	- 120 representa-	sters) project and	rvey interviews	
	tives of local au- thorities (public	the project;	project fulfilled all trainees. In	
	administration)	- quantity distribu- ted copies of	a survey of me-	
	- 120 representa-	transboundary gu-	aningful will	
	tives of NGSs	ide socioeconomic;	include: the	
	- 210 familiarizes	- number dialed	level of me-	
	3. Study visits	contacts Polish-	aningful tra-	
	J. Juay Visits	CONTROL OF ORDER	annigrar da	

Within the proining, fitness Ukrainian relations ject are raised among target grogained qualifications by ups of the project. knowledge, traparticipation in When evaluating ining the traqualitative indicator making the foliners and the will be taken into lowing number of organizational participants: 90 account the follolevel. All supeople – 6 study wing factors: rveys will be visits in Poland: 2 - the quantity and analysed and a group after 15 quality of local inicompleted evaentrepreneurs tiatives and conluation report. from Ukraine, 2 tacts within the project of develogroup after 15 representatives ping cooperation between local auof NGOs from Ukraine, 2 group thorities, NGOs and after 15 reprethe private sector; sentatives of the - NGO activity in administration of Ukraine measured Ukraine. quantity initiatives; 45 people – 3 - growth private study visits in sector activity in Ukraine. Ukraine measured 4. Workshops quantity employ-Workshops within ees. the framework of the project will be developed divisions and district covered project by participation in workshops of persons: 60 persons three -day workshops for representatives of local selfgovernment, NGOs and private sectors representative from Poland and Ukraine. 5. Promotion and publications In the framework of the project will be prepared and distribution: - bilingual promotional project folders in the effort of 8000 pieces; - bilingual pro-

	motional posters - 4000 pieces – website; - releases- spot radio; - writing informa- tion to local governments; - conference ma- terials What are the key	Means:	What are the	What pre-
Activities	activities to be carried out and in what sequence in order to produce the expected results? (group the activities by result)	What are the me- ans required to im- plement these ac- tivities, e. g. personnel, equ- ipment, training, studies, supplies, operational facili- ties, etc.	sources of in- formation about action pro- gress? Costs What are the action costs? How are they classified? (breakdown in the Budget for the Action)	conditions are required before the action starts? What conditions outside the Beneficiary's direct control have to be met for the implementation of the planned activities?
	Action: 1. Appointment and prepare project staff; 2. Preparation of the technicalorganization offices, purchase needed equipment and materials, creation of documentation; 3. Promotion project 4. Arranging and conducting the opening conference in Lublin for 80 people; 5. The organization and conducting 2 cycles four-day training in Ukraine for the division for public administration (120 people); 6. The organization and conducting 2 cycles four-day training in Ukraine for the division for public administration (120 people); 6. The organization and conducting 2 cycles fo-	The measures necessary for the realization of project: Human resources: partner organization staff requesting and managing project, - coaches training essential for component, - experts/ consultants essential strategies, - lecturers; - keepers trips, - interpreters, - staff TCOI Equipment: - equipment applicants and partners in computer equipment enabling current communicate and project implementation, training, project offices - 2 and TCOI; in furniture and office supplies Resources: - use material resources of individual pro-	Current monitoring project: - work of the Steering Committee's Draft; - analysis of questionnaires in the evaluation; - the project on schedule; - the preparation of periodic reports from project implementation.	The project requires more accurate diagnosis of problems:

ur day training	iost partners		
ur-day training	ject partners,		
for NGO division	knowledge specific		
in Ukraine (120	cultural and Social		
people);	Committee-		
7. The organiza-	economic area-		
tion and conduct	good address the		
of 2 training cyc-	needs and circum-		
les of 14 days for	stances of all parti-		
representatives	cipating entities		
of private sector	Operational facili-		
in Ukraine (210	ties: support from		
persons);	local authorities in		
8. The organiza-	implementing pro-		
tion and conduct	ject-good, multian-		
of 6 two-day stu-	nual contacts with		
dy visits in Po-	partners		
land one for re-			
presentatives of			
the administra-			
tion, NGOs and			
private sector;			
9. Primary 3-day			
study visits in			
Ukraine one for			
representatives			
•			
of the admini-			
stration, NGOs			
and private sec-			
tor from Poland			
(45 people).			
10. Organizing			
activities and ce-			
remonial Polish-			
Ukrainian Centre			
investor in			
Zolkiew near			
Lwow;			
11. The activities			
of cross-border			
Centre investor;			
12. A three stra-			
tegic workshops			
for representa-			
tives of the local			
Government,			
NGOs and private			
sector (60 peo-			
ple) from Poland			
and Ukraine;			
13. The deve-			
lopment and pu-			
blication of cross-			
border strategy			
development			
Tomaszow			
		<u> </u>	

poviat, Sokal and	
Zolkiev districts	
(TISC – Transbo-	
undary Investor	
Service Centre);	
14 The deve-	
lopment and pu-	
blication of	
transboundary	
Guide;	
15. The deve-	
lopment and pu-	
blication of fol-	
ders promoting	
transborder area	
project;	
16. The organiza-	
tion of Polish-	
Ukrainian closing	
conference I To-	
maszow (70 peo-	
ple) summary re-	
sults of the	
project and pre-	
sents its effects;	
17. Create a Po-	
lish-Ukrainian	
Cross-border as-	
sociation of local	
communities	
in Tomaszow;	

Source: Project: "Closer neighbousr. Polish-Ukrainian transborder cooperation platform for socioeconomic development planning", Fundacja Centrum Rozwoju Lokalnego, Lublin 2012.

These results will significantly raise awareness of the necessity of institutionalised cooperation among multilevel groups and their surroundings. Raise substantive competence will develop appropriate beneficiaries schemas and building structures, exchange of experience and consultations. Mutual contacts will give rise to develop common strategies and promotions. Project changes permanently changed the face of cooperation within the impact project between representatives of the three sectors on both sides of the border.

The logical framework is a complex tool applied to the stage of planning project activities and to the subsequent project management. The underlying objective of the construction of the logical framework is to expand the idea of the project and make it operational. The matrix determines intervention logic (if actions are undertaken, the results will be achieved, and so will the project objectives later on etc.) and describes major assumptions

and risks found at the base of logics. This ensures verification of project feasibility¹¹.

Conclusion

Social projects are particularly important as their underlying activities are aimed at mobilisation of certain communities. Social projects are most frequently in the form of trainings, seminars, or study tours. This is the result of intended moulding of skills, awareness and involvement of the participating human resources. Amongst various social projects, transborder projects are especially significant because they feature more barriers - those related to historical and psychological background of project partakers. The assumed outcomes are hard to measure in the case of social projects since they are usually described as intangible or qualitative. As a consequence, it is highly challenging to conduct a proper evaluation of intended actions in terms of financial means provided for them in the project's budget. Another problem would be the ability to run a comparison between projects competing for subsidies. As a result, assessment of social projects is - to a large degree - based on self-perception.

Bibliography

- Aid Delivery Methods. Volume 1: Project Cycle Management Guidelines, European Commission, Europe Aid Cooperation Office, Brussels 2004.
- Cross-Border Cooperation Programme Poland Belarus Ukraine 2007--2013, European Commission, 6 November 2008 (Decision number K(2008)6411).
- Cross-Border Co-Operation Programme Poland Belarus Ukraine 2007-2013. Guidelines for grant applicants, Ministry of Regional Development of Poland, Territorial Cooperation Department, Warszawa 2011.
- European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument Cross-Border Cooperation. Strategy Paper 2007-2013. Indicative Programme 2007-2010, European Commission, 2007.
- Podręcznik zarządzania projektami miękkimi w kontekście Europejskiego Funduszu Społecznego, Ministerstwo Rozwoju Regionalnego, Warszawa 2006.
- Szot-Gabryś T., Projekty inwestycyjne infrastrukturalne i biznesowe. Aspekty metodologiczne i praktyczne [Infrastructure and business investment projects. Methodological and practical aspects.], DIFIN, Warszawa 2011.
- Trocki M., Zarządzanie projektami [Project Management], PWE, Warszawa 2003.

www.eu.progressinvestment.eu/ (01.06.2012). www.pl-by-ua.eu/ (25.02.2012).

_

¹¹ M. Trocki, *Zarządzanie projektami* [*Project Management*], PWE, Warszawa 2003.