
Eduard Khurshudian is an author of the numerous works dedicated to the history of Armenia during the period of Iranian domination especially during the Sasanian.¹ He presented his interest in the problems of Iranian administration already in 1990 in his doctoral thesis submitted in Russian Academy of Sciences in St. Petersburg.²

In 2015 a book by Eduard Khurshudian was published, discussing Parthian and Sasanid administrative organization: Государственные институты парфянского и сасанидского Ирана. The work is based on the translation into Russian of greatly important monograph of this Author published in 1998 in German.³ It is not merely translation though. The discussed work has been supplemented with the results of the groundbreaking research of Sasanian sigillography of R. Gyselen.⁴

In the book State institutions of Parthian and Sasanian Iran Khurshudian presents the organization of bureaucratic system of Iran and analyzes particular administrative titles. The work is divided into 7 chapters, preserving the basic plan of the German edition. The first chapter Governors and Rulers of the provinces discusses the range of power of: Bidaxš, Pāygospān, Marzbān and Kanārang (p. 21-100). The second chapter Higher Ranks of the central civil administration is dedicated mainly to analyzing of the functions of hāzāruft (p. 101-119), somehow supplements with the information on particular counsellors (p. 120-131). The following chapters contain the presentation of the palace administration (III: Higher officials of the court/palace, p. 132-150), the officers responsible for financial matters (IV: Financial officials, p. 151-174) and organization of managing the cities (V: City administration, p. 175-194). Particular attention attracts chapter VI Military administration (p. 195-218) containing the most updated research of the organization of the Sasanian army. The last chapter is dedicated to the activities of widely-understood chancellory (p. 219-246). The work contains Bibliography (p. 252-290), List of Iranian kings (p. 360-369) and Index (p. 375-395). The novelty in comparison to German edition is thorough Appendix (p. 297-369). The Author has placed there the tables of the administrative titles known from sigillography together with literature and what is important also with illustrations.

The work was written based on rich and diversified source material. The Author concentrates his analyze on competent linguistic analyze of the terminology of Iranian administrative nomenclature. The research of etymology allows Khurshudian to determine the origin, the range of power and mutual relations of particular offices in the Sasanian administrative hierarchy.

Detailed remarks:
When analyzing the titles present in the early Sasanian inscriptions (ŠKZ, NPi) Khurshudian lists the house of Spāhbed and states: “рода Спахбедов здесь приводится, очевидно, название рода, а не должность”⁵ There was no Ispāhbudhān /Aspāhbed/ Spāhbed family. In my opinion Ispāhbudhān clan is a lateral branch of the Sūrēn one in fact. Ispāhbudhān /Aspāhbed/ Spāhbed is not the family name but rather mistaken form of the spāhbed title. On the basis of text, Hormozd (IV) killed the great asparapet, Parthian and Pahlaw, who was descended from the criminal Anak’s offsprings.⁶ He was the father of Xusrō II’s mother and two sons, Bestām and Bendōy. Armenian tradition generally names the murderer as Anak, a member
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of the Parthian Sūrēn family. By analogy it should be pointed out here that some source authors like Procopius and Zachariah mistakenly believe that the name of the Parthian clan Mehrān was a military title.

Discussing the powers of hazārbed/ hazārûft Khurshudian states: “При первых Сасанидах хазарбед принадлежал к членац царского дома”. This idea does not seem to be well founded. Mehr-Narseh Sūrēn was wuzurg faramādār during the reign of four Sasanian kings (Yazdgerd I, Bahrām V, Yazdgerd II and Pērōz). This title given in text Sūr i saxwan is placed directly below the King and the princes of the blood. This is corroborated by the evidence of Ammianus Marcellinus saying about high rank of house of Sūrēn. The dominant role in the young King’s court played hazārûft Suxrā of the clans Kārin, his maternal uncle. Because it is impossible to determine the origin of the personages using that title in 3rd century we cannot conclude argumentum ex silentio, that they were the members of the royal family. Quite contrary, if in later periods the office belonged to the members of the Parthian clans it should be assumed that already in 3rd century they belonged to the families who supported the first Sasanian rulers in the struggle for the crown against the Arsacids.

In summary the enormous contribution of E. Khurshudian to the research of Parthian and Sasanian Iran must be highlighted. It must be pointed out how difficult was illustrating of the fluent transitions of administrative organization throughout nine centuries. This monograph will be a ground for further research on Iranian administrative system. Regrettfully the book has not been yet published in English which would enhance its availability for the students of the history of Iran.

Katarzyna MAKSYMIUK* (Siedlce University, Poland)

---

7 Agathangelos (1976) 13; Moses Khorenats’i (1978) 2.74.
8 Proc. Bell. (1914–1928) I 13. 16: But one general held command over them all, a Persian, whose title was “mirranes” (for thus the Persians designate this office), Perozes by name.
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